

|   |                                       |   |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/720,306  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>YAMAJI, HIROTAKA |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Creighton H. Smith | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2614                 |  |

**All Participants:**

 (1) Creighton H. Smith.

 (2) Sean McGinn.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (3) Phil Miller.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 April 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant's attorneys for a restriction requirement between claims 1-5, 15, 16 of Group I and claims 6-14 of Group II. Mr. McGinn elected Group I claims with traverse. On 11 APR '07 examiner called back again telling Mr. Miller that group I claims were allowable and requesting that Group II claims be cancelled by examiner's amendment. Mr. Miller agreed to cancel the Group II claims by examiner's amendment.